

■ ■ ■ CONTROL AGENCY

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation - additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 170574023 Local	al regulatory authority: Becker County
Property address: 15350 Blackhawk Rd. Audubon, MN 56573	
Owner/representative: Rod Moser	Owner's phone:
Brief system description: 1000 gal, septic tank to 752 sq.ft. chamb	er trench drainfield.
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 6/6/2022	
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	Noncompliant − Notice of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
in Local Ordinance.) *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable	
⊠ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing a	protect groundwater t #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety t #3) – Failing to protect groundwater 00 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usag	nade due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible ge.
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be tro- can be used for the purpose of processing this form	ue and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information
Business name: Stoll Inspections Inc.	Certification number: 7526
Inspector signature:	License number: 2982
(This document has been electronically signed)	Phone: 218-839-1849
Necessary or locally required supporting doc	umentation (must be attached)
 ☒ Soil observation logs ☒ Locally required forms ☒ Other information (list): 	☐ Tank Integrity Assessment ☐ Operating Permit
	It is your professed selections. Available in alternative formats.

1. Impact on public health - Compliance component #1 of 5 Attached supporting documentation: Compliance criteria: System discharges sewage to the ☐ Yes¹ 🖾 No Other: around surface ☐ Not applicable ☐ Yes¹ ☒ No System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters. ☐ Yes* ⊠ No System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Describe verification methods and results: 2. Tank integrity - Compliance component #2 of 5 Attached supporting documentation: Compliance criteria: ☐ Yes*
☐ No Pumped at time of inspection System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, Name of maintenance business: **Deweys** or other pit? 🗌 Yes' 🔯 No License number of maintenance business: L2884 Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? 6/6/2022 Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Any "yes" answer above indicates the system Minn, R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) is failing to protect groundwater. Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary - explain below) Other: Tank Empty Describe verification methods and results:

. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or uns ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown	ecured?
3b. Other Issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe *Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	ety? ☐ Yes¹ ⊠ No ☐ Unkn
3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No
*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
Describe verification methods and results:	
Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable Not applicable Not applicable Compliance component #4	of 5 ⊠ Not applicable
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requ
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	If "yes", A below is requ If "yes", B below is requ
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	If "yes", A below is requ If "yes", B below is requ
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria:	If "yes", A below is requ If "yes", B below is requ
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requ If "yes", B below is requ
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requ If "yes", B below is requ
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requ If "yes", B below is requ
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requ If "yes", B below is requ
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requ If "yes", B below is requ
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requ If "yes", B below is requ
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requ If "yes", B below is requ
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requ If "yes", B below is requ
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requ If "yes", B below is requ
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requ If "yes", B below is requ
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requ If "yes", B below is requ
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requ If "yes", B below is requ
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requ If "yes", B below is requ ed.

https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • Use your preferred relay service • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 1/11/21 Page 3 of 4

5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5 ☐ Unknown Date of installation 4/21/2006 (mm/dd/yyyy) Attached supporting documentation: Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Soil observation logs completed for the report (Attach) Two previous verifications of required vertical Compliance criteria (select one): separation (Attach) ☐ Yes ☐ No* 5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, ☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area) and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. ☐ Yes 🖾 No* Indicate depths or elevations 5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance 39" A. Bottom of distribution media systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food. B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock 18" beverage, or lodging establishment: 0" C. System separation Drainfield has a three-foot vertical 36" D. Required compliance separation* separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.* *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance. 5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" ☐ Yes ☐ No* systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required) Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. *Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results:

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.


Page 4 of 4

Parcel Number: 1705 74023

Date & Initial: 6/6/22 PJ3

System Drawing

The system drawing which includes and identifies a graphic scale in feet or indicates all setback distances, all septic/holding/lift tanks, drainfields, wells within 100 feet of system (indicate depth of wells), dwelling and non-dwelling structures, lot lines, road right-of-ways, easements, OHWLs, wetlands, and topographic features (i.e. bluffs).





COUNTY OF BECKER

Planning and Zoning

915 Lake Ave, Detroit Lakes, MN 56501 Phone: 218-846-7314 ~ Fax: 218-846-7266

July 13, 2022

Rod Moser 15350 Blackhawk Rd. Audubon, MN 56573



Re Property: 17.0574.023

To Whom it May Concern,

A compliance inspection form was submitted into our office 06/20/2022 stating the existing septic system servicing the property is noncompliant per inspection conducted 06/06/2022.

The existing septic system is to be upgraded, repaired, or replaced per State and County regulations. You have 10 months from the date of non-compliance to update the system.

Enclosed is a list of ISTS contractors. An application for an upgraded system must be submitted into the office, with the installation completed within 10 months.

Any questions, please contact our office at 218-846-7314. Thank you.

Nicole Hultin

Nicole Hultin

Zoning Technician